

## REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application, as amended, is respectfully requested.


The claims have been amended to recite that micro-particles are selected from latex and coacervate particles. See example 5 of applicants' specification which describes latex particles (i.e. microparticles) coated with perfume and the results in the presence of CBD fusion protein and without CBD fusion protein. See also example 6 describing coacervate particles with perfume. See page 18 describing the preparation of perfume latex particles and the preparation of coacervate particles. See example 3 describing the preparation of coacervate microcapsules. See example 2 describing latex capture on cellulose fibers.

None of the documents cited by the Examiner appears to teach or suggest any compositions presently recited by claim 1. Among other differences between the cited documents and the present claim 1, the Examiner has not pointed to any teaching in the cited documents of any micro-particles loaded with a benefit agent.

In light of numerous differences between the teaching of cited documents and the present claims, it is respectfully requested that the rejections be reconsidered and withdrawn and the application be allowed to issue.

If a telephone conversation would be of assistance in advancing the prosecution of the present application, applicants' undersigned attorney invites the Examiner to telephone at the number provided.

Respectfully submitted,



Rimma Mitelman

Registration No. 34,396

Attorney for Applicant(s)

RM/sa  
(201) 840-2671